CS242 Operator Precedence Parsing	 The Role of the Parser Technically, parsing is the process of determining if a string of tokens can be derived from the start state of a grammar However, languages we wish to recognize in practice are typically not fully describable by conventional grammars Grammars are capable of describing most, but not all, of the syntax of programming languages Four Basic Parsing Approaches
Douglas C. Schmidt	 Universal parsing methods — inefficient, but gen-
Washingon University, St. Louis	 eral <i>Top-down</i> – generally efficient, useful for hand-coding parsers <i>Bottom-up</i> – efficient, automatically generated
	 Ad-hoc — eclectic, combined approach
1	2
General Types of Parsers:	Context-Free Grammars (CFGs)
• Universal parsing methods	 Context-free languages can be described by
– Cocke-Younger-Kasami and Earley's algorithm	a "context free grammar" (CFG)
• Top-down	 Four components in a CFG
 Recursive-descent with backtracking 	1. <i>Terminals</i> are tokens
– LL – left-to-right scanning, leftmost derivation	– e.g., if, then, while, 10.83, foo_bar
 predictive parsing — non-backtracking LL(1) pars- ing 	2. Nonterminals are syntactic abstractions denoting sets of strings
• Bottom-up	 e.g., STATEMENT, EXPRESSION, STATEMENT_LIST
 LR – left-to-right scanning, reverse rightmost deriva- tion LALR – look ahead LR 	 3. The start symbol is a distinguished nonterminal that denotes the set of strings defined by the language – e.g., in Pascal the start symbol is program

4. *Productions* are rewriting rules that specify how terminals and nonterminals can be combined to form strings, *e.g.*,:

stmt \rightarrow if '(' expr ')' stmt \mid if '(' expr ')' stmt else stmt

4

- *e.g.*, combine recursive descent with operator-precedence

• Ad-hoc

parsing

CFG Example	Grammar-Related Terms
 CFG Example e. e. oolean expressions e. e. or and false or (true or false) e. or and man on the set of the	 Precedence Rules for binding operators to operands Higher precedence operators bind to their operands before lower precedence ones e.g., / and * have equal precedence, but are higher than either + or -, which have equal precedence Associativity Grouping of operands for binary operators of equal precedence Either left-, right-, or non- associative e.g., +, -, *, / are left-associative (assignment in C) and ** (exponentiation in Ada) are right-associative operators new and delete (free store allocation in C++) are non-associative
 Derivations Applies rewriting rules to generate strings in a language described by a CFG => means "derives" in one step e.g., B => B or B means B derives B or B = *> means derives in zero or more steps ▷ e.g., B *> B B *> B B *> B or B B *> b or B B *> true or false = ±> means derives in one or more steps ▷ e.g., B ±> true or B B ±> true or alse ▷ note that ±> defines L(G), the language generated by G 	 Parse trees Provides a graphical representation of derivations A root (represents the start symbol) Leaves - labeled by terminals Internal nodes - labeled by non-terminals Expression trees A more compact representation of a parse tree Typically used to depict arithmetic expressions Leaves → operands Internal nodes → operators

• Sentential form

A string (containing terminals and/or nonterminals) that is derived from the start symbol, *e.g.*,
 B, B or B, B or false, true or false

• Sentence

- Is a string of terminals derivable from the start symbol

e.g.,

true and false is a string in the boolean expr language true and or false is a *not*

- The parser determines whether an input string is a sentence in the language being compiled
- Push-down automata (PDA)
- A parser that recognizes a language specified by a CFG simulates a *push-down automata*, *i.e.*, a finite automata with an unbounded stack.

Why Use Context-Free Grammars?

- CFGs provide a precise and relatively comprehensible specification of programming language syntax
- Techniques exist for automatically generating efficient parsers for many grammars
- CFGs enable syntax-directed translation
- They facilitate programming language modifications and extensions

10

Operator-Precedence Parsing

(OPP)

- Uses a restricted form of *shift-reduce* parsing to recognize *operator grammars*:
 - Contain no ϵ productions
 - Have no two adjacent non-terminals
- Table driven approach uses a matrix containing three disjoint precedence relations:

1. $< \cdot$

- 2. ≐
- 3. ·>

Operator-Precedence Parsing (OPP) (cont'd)

- Strengths of OPP
 - Easy to implement by hand or by a simple tabledriven generator
- Weaknesses of OPP
 - Need clever lexical analyzer to handle certain overloaded operators e.g., unary + and - versus binary + and -
 - Only handles a small class of languages (operator grammars)

9

OPP Algorithm

• General pseudo-code

```
while (next token is not EOF) {
    if (token is TRUE or FALSE)
         push (handle_stack, mk_node (token));
    else if (f[top (op_stack)] < g[token])</pre>
         push (op_stack, mk_node (token));
    else {
         while (f[top (op_stack)] > g[token])
             push (handle_stack,
                  mk_node (pop (op_stack),
                      pop (handle_stack),
                      pop (handle_stack)));
         if (top (op_stack) == DELIMIT_TOK
             && token == DELIMIT_TOK)
             return pop (handle_stack);
         else if (top (op_stack) == LPAREN_TOK
                  && token == RPAREN_TOK) {
             pop (op_stack);
             continue:
             /* Jump over push operation below. */
         }
         push (op_stack, mk_node (token));
    }
}
```

```
13
```