

PICS Proforma for the DS1 Physical Layer Interface

af-test-0037.000

April, 1995

Copyright release for PICS:

This PICS proforma may be freely reproduced, so that it may be used for its intended purpose.

PICS Proforma for the DS1 Physical Layer Interface

Version 1.0 April, 1995

(C) 1995 The ATM Forum. All Rights Reserved.

The information in this publication is believed to be accurate at its publication date. Such information is subject to change without notice and the ATM Forum is not responsible for any errors. The ATM Forum does not assume any responsibility to update or correct any information in this publication. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, neither The ATM Forum nor the publisher make any representation or warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the completeness, accuracy, or applicability of any information contained in this publication. No liability of any kind shall be assumed by The ATM Forum or the publisher as a result of reliance upon any information contained in this publication.

The receipt or any use of this document or its contents does not in any way create by implication or otherwise:

- Any express or implied license or right to or under any ATM Forum member company's patent, copyright, trademark or trade secret rights which are or may be associated with the ideas, techniques, concepts or expressions contained herein; nor
- Any warranty or representation that any ATM Forum member companies will announce any
 product(s) and/or service(s) related thereto, or if such announcements are made, that such
 announced product(s) and/or service(s) embody any or all of the ideas, technologies, or
 concepts contained herein; nor
- Any form of relationship between any ATM Forum member companies and the recipient or user of this document.

Implementation or use of specific ATM recommendations and/or specifications or recommendations of the ATM Forum or any committee of the ATM Forum will be voluntary, and no company shall agree or be obliged to implement them by virtue of participation in the ATM Forum.

The ATM Forum is a non-profit international organization accelerating industry cooperation on ATM technology. The ATM Forum does not, expressly or otherwise, endorse or promote any specific products or services.

Acknowledgement

The assistance of David L. Foote who provided source material for this document is appreciated. Without his effort this document could not have been assembled.

Walter Buehler, Editor

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	. 1
	1.1 Scope	. 1
	1.1 Scope1.2 Normative References	. 1
	1.3 Definitions	.2
	1.4 Conformance Statement	
2.	Identification of the Implementation	. 3
2	DICC D. C	_
3.	PICS Proforma	
	3.1 Global Statement of Conformance	
	3.2 Instructions for Completing the PICS Proforma	. 5
	3.3 Physical Media Dependent (PMD) Characteristics	. 6
	3.4 Transport Signal Format	.7
	3.5 Transmission Convergence (TC) Characteristics	. 8

1. Introduction

Prior to the conformance testing and the interoperability testing of two IUTs, it is necessary to have the PICS (Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement) documents for both implementations.

This particular PICS deals with the implementation of the DS1 Physical Layer Interface.

1.1 Scope

This document provides the PICS proforma for the DS1 Physical Layer Interface as described in the ATM Forum DS1 Physical Layer Specification, AF-PHY-0016.000, September, 1994 [1], in compliance with relevant requirements, and in accordance with the relevant guidelines, given in ISO/IEC 9646-2 [3].

1.2 Normative References

- [1] ATM Forum, "DS1 Physical Layer Specification" AF-PHY-0016.000, September, 1994
- [2] ISO/IEC 9646-1: 1991, Information technology Open Systems Interconnection Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework Part 1: General Concepts. (See also ITU-T Recommendation X.290 (1991))
- [3] ISO/IEC 9646-2: 1991, Information technology Open Systems Interconnection Conformance Testing Methodology and Interconnection Part 2: Abstract Test Suite Specification. (See also ITU-T Recommendation X.291 (1991))
- [4] American National Standard, "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) Primary Rate Customer Installation Metallic Interfaces Layer 1 Specification, ANSI T1.408-1990"
- [5] ITU-T, "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN): Overall Network Aspects and Functions, ISDN User- Network Interfaces, B-ISDN User-Network Interface Physical Layer Specification, Recommendation I.432", 03/93.

1.3 Definitions

ATM	Asynchronous Transfer Mode
B8ZS	Bipolar with 8-Zero Substitution

CS Convergence Sublayer
HEC Header Error Control
IUT Implementation Under Test

LOS Loss of Signal M Mandatory O Optional

O.<n> Optional, but, if chosen, support is required for either at least one or only one of

the options in the group labelled by the same numeral <n>

S.<i> Supplementary information number i X.<i> Exceptional information number i

1.4 Conformance Statement

The supplier of a protocol implementation which is claimed to conform to the DS1 Physical Layer Interface Specification is required to complete a copy of the PICS proforma provided in Section 3.0 and is required to provide the information necessary to identify both the supplier and the implementation provided in Section 2.0.

2. Identification of the Implementation

Implementation Under Test (IUT) Identification	
IUT Name:	
IUT Version:	
System Under Test	
SUT Name:	
Hardware Configuration:	
Operating System:	
Product Supplier Identification	
Name:	
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
Facsimile Number:	
Additional Information:	
Client Identification	
Name:	
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
Facsimile Number:	
Additional Information:	
PICS Contact Person	
Name:	

Address:
Telephone Number:
Facsimile Number:
Additional Information:
PICS - System Conformance Statement
Provide the relationship of the PICS with the System Conformance Statement for the system:

Identification of the protocol

This PICS proforma applies to the following document:

ATM Forum, "DS1 Physical Layer Specification, AF-PHY-0016.000, September, 1994"

3. PICS Proforma

3.1 Global Statement of Conformance

The implementation reference protocol.	described in thi	s PICS mee	ets all of the	e mandatory	requiremen	ts of the
Yes						
No						
NT . A ! HNT		C		1	. 1 37	

Note: Answering "No" indicates non-conformance to the specified protocol. Non-supported mandatory capabilities are to be identified in the following tables, with an explanation in the comments section of each table of why the implementation is non-conforming.

3.2 Instructions for Completing the PICS Proforma

The PICS Proforma is a fixed-format questionnaire. Answers to the questionnaire should be provided in the rightmost columns, either by simply indicating a restricted choice (such as Yes or No), or by entering a value or a set of range of values.

A supplier may also provide additional information, categorized as exceptional or supplementary information. This additional information should be provided as items labelled X.<i> for exceptional information, or S.<i for supplemental information, respectively, for cross reference purposes, where <i is any unambiguous identification for the item. The exception and supplementary information are not mandatory and the PICS is complete without such information. The presence of optional supplementary or exception information should not affect test execution, and will in no way affect interoperability verification.

3.3 Physical Media Dependent (PMD) Characteristics

Item	Protocol Feature	Status Pred.	Spec. Ref.	Support	
3.3.1	Is the PMD Sublayer based on [4] Sections 5.2, 5.3.3, 5.4-5.4.2.3, 5.5 and 5.6.1?	M	2.0	Yes_ No_ X S	
3.3.2	Is the physical layer bit rate nominally 1.544 Mbps?	M	2.1	Yes_ No_ X S	
3.3.3	Is the nominal bit rate available for transport of ATM cells 1.536 Mbps?	M	2.2	Yes_ No_ X S	
3.3.4	Is the interface symmetric?	M	2.3	Yes_ No_ X S	
3.3.5	In the case of a public network access applications, does it meet the synchronization characteristics of Ia at the U reference point as described in [4] Sections 5.3.1.1-5.3.1.2.1?	M	2.4	Yes_ No_ X S	
3.3.6	In the case of a leased line applications, does it meet the synchronization characteristics of Ia at the U reference point as described in [4] Section 5.3.1.2.3?	M	2.4	Yes_ No_ X S	
3.3.7	Is the line code used Bipolar with 8-Zero Substitution (B8ZS) according to [4] Section 5.3.2?	M	2.5	Yes_ No_ X S	
Comments:					

3.4 Transport Signal Format

Item	Protocol Feature	Status Pred.	Spec. Ref.	Support
3.4.1	Is the frame format the 24 frame multiframe Extended Superframe Format (ESF) as specified in [4] Sections 6.1-6.3 and 7?	M	3.1	Yes_ No_ X S
3.4.2	Are the bit-oriented alarm messages of the ESF Data Link supported according to [4] Sections 8.1 and 8.2?	M	3.2	Yes_ No_ X S
3.4.3	Are the bit-oriented loopback messages of the ESF Data Link supported according to [4] Sections 8.3 (including Annex E PT FS and Ia FS required functions) and 8.3.1, 8.3.3 and 8.3.4?	M	3.2	Yes_ No_ X S
3.4.4	Do the bit-oriented messages meet the conditions of the ESF Data Link according to [4] Sections 8.4.1-8.4.2.2?	M	3.2	Yes_ No_ X S
3.4.5	Are the message-oriented performance report messages supported according to [4] Sections 8.4.3.1-8.4.3.1.2?	M	3.2	Yes_ No_ X S
3.4.6	Do the message-oriented performance report messages support the format and meet the conditions described in [4] Sections 8.4.3.2-8.4.5?	M	3.2	Yes_ No_ X S

Comments:

3.5 Transmission Convergence (TC) Characteristics

Item	Protocol Feature	Status Pred.	Spec. Ref.	Support
3.5.1	Are ATM Cells carried in the DS1 payload in accordance with [1] Section 4.1/Figure 4-1?	М	4.1	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.2	Does the transmit side adapt the cell rate arriving from the ATM layer to the DS1 frame payload capacity by inserting unassigned or idle cells when assigned cells are not available from the ATM layer?	M	4.2	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.3	If GFC is supported, does the IUT transmit unassigned cells when assigned cells are not available from the ATM layer?	M	4.2	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.4	Can the receive side of the interface receive and filter unassigned and idle cells?	M	4.2	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.5	Is cell delineation performed using the HEC mechanism as defined in [5] Section 4.5?	М	4.3	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.6	Does the interface operate without payload scrambling?	М	4.3	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.7	Is the Header Error Control (HEC) generated as defined in [5] Section 4.3.2?	M	4.4	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.8	Is the generator polynomial and coset used in accordance with [5] Section 4.3.2?	М	4.4	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.9	Is HEC error detection function in accordance with [5] Sections 4.3.1-4.3.2?	M	4.4	Yes_ No_ X S
3.5.10	Is single bit error correction implemented in accordance with [5] Section 4.3.1?	О	4.4	Yes_ No_ X S

Comments: